

From <20020620203456.02928.00002156@mb-fq.aol.com> Post #: 254882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10a1fc,c4a5defc5f5745f2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10a1fc,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2002-06-20 17:35:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news1.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-08!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator-
sterling!news-in.nuthinbutnews.com!cyclone1.gnilink.net!ngpeer.news.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: obedientfemme@aol.com (ObedientFemme)
Newsgroups: alt.support.srs
Date: 21 Jun 2002 00:34:56 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: What is the criteria for being a woman?
Message-ID: <20020620203456.02928.00002156@mb-fq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.support.srs:49450

Okay. What is the criteria for being a woman?

According to the most vocal people participating in the newsgroup, any man who submits to sex reassignment surgery (SRS) instantly becomes a woman. At that point, the postoperative transsexual reenters society deemed a woman by the heterosexual surgeon man who first suggested that this was possible -- himself being neither transgendered, nor transsexual but due all the respect we give certain males in this society.

Of course, there are still other women -- women who have never had SRS but who have most likely given birth, felt cramps and menstruated. And, of course, we must respect the opinion of a heterosexual man who is neither transgendered or transsexual, and give his theory even more credibility than we would the opinions of genetically female women.

An interesting thought. Isn't it? It's as though we worship the delusion we ourselves create. But as it becomes our reality, we no longer see it as a delusion.

And we certainly can't see it from the perspective of a genetically female woman because, by your own admission, if you are not a genetically female woman, you can never know what it means to be a genetically female woman. Isn't this the same argument you use to assert that one who is transgendered can never understand one who is transsexual?

Now, let's make the crazy assumption that genetically female women know more about being women than any postoperative transsexual can ever know; and, what if these women assert that to be a woman you must have experienced ovulation.

How many male-to-female postoperative transsexuals have ovulated? And, given that number -- whatever that number might be, how many meet the criteria of being a woman as set by women themselves?

No need to flame. It's just a thought.

Roberta Angela Dee